<u>Table 1</u>: Comparison of Ranking Question 1

rabic 1. comparison of Ranking Question 1	NW CMH Affiliation	Statewide	
	n=8	n=139	
I. Ranking Question 1:			
Please rank the top 5 factors critical to	choosing EBPs in your region		
	1st Choice 0.0%	1st Choice 7.2%	
	2 nd Choice 12.5%	2 nd Choice 12.9%	
a. Level of Ongoing Training Needed	3 rd Choice 0.0%	3 rd Choice 9.4%	
	4 th Choice 25.0%	4 th Choice 17.3%	
	5 th Choice 25.0%	5 th Choice 20.1%	
	Unranked 37.5%	Unranked 33.1%	
	1st Choice 25.0%	1 st Choice 3.6%	
	2 nd Choice 0.0%	2 nd Choice 2.2%	
b. Ease of Certification Process	3 rd Choice 0.0%	3 rd Choice 9.4%	
	4 th Choice 0.0%	4 th Choice 5.0%	
	5 th Choice 0.0%	5 th Choice 5.8%	
	Unranked 75.0%	Unranked 74.1%	
	1st Choice 0.0%	1st Choice 5.8%	
	2 nd Choice 37.5%	2 nd Choice 22.3%	
c. Cost of Intervention Delivery	3rd Choice 37.5%	3rd Choice 22.3%	
c. Cost of litter vention Delivery	4th Choice 0.0%	4 th Choice 10.8%	
	5 th Choice 25.0%	5 th Choice 11.5%	
	Unranked 0.0%	Unranked 32.4%	
	Officialized 0.070	omanked 32.470	
	1st Choice 0.0%	1st Choice 8.6%	
	2 nd Choice 12.5%	2 nd Choice 15.1%	
d. Ability to Maintain Fidelity to the Model	3 rd Choice 0.0%	3 rd Choice 11.5%	
	4 th Choice 0.0%	4 th Choice 12.2%	
	5th Choice 12.5%	5th Choice 15.8%	
	Unranked 75.0%	Unranked 36.7%	
	1st Choice 50.0%	1st Choice 53.2%	
e. Consumer Demand	2 nd Choice 25.0%	2 nd Choice 7.9%	
	3 rd Choice 0.0%	3 rd Choice 7.9%	
	4 th Choice 12.5%	4 th Choice 5.8%	

	5 th Choice	0.0%	5 th Choice	4.3%
	Unranked	12.5%	Unranked	20.9%
				1
	1st Choice	0.0%	1st Choice	2.2%
f Detaining Qualified Shaff to Deligner	2 nd Choice	0.0%	2 nd Choice	12.2%
f. Retaining Qualified Staff to Deliver EBPs	3 rd Choice	50.0%	3 rd Choice	16.5%
EDI 3	4 th Choice	37.5%	4 th Choice	20.9%
	5 th Choice	12.5%	5 th Choice	11.5%
	Unranked	0.0%	Unranked	36.7%
	1st Choice	0.0%	1st Choice	3.6%
	2 nd Choice	12.5%	2nd Choice	10.1%
g. Fit With Existing EBPs	3 rd Choice	0.0%	3 rd Choice	8.6%
	4 th Choice	0.0%	4 th Choice	9.4%
	5 th Choice	25.0%	5 th Choice	12.2%
	Unranked	62.5%	Unranked	56.1%
	1st Choice	25.0%	1st Choice	15.1%
h Assilability of Ossaina Fooding	2 nd Choice	0.0%	2 nd Choice	17.3%
h. Availability of Ongoing Funding from the State to Sustain EBPs	3 rd Choice	12.5%	3 rd Choice	14.4%
from the State to Sustain EBPs	4 th Choice	25.0%	4 th Choice	10.8%
	5 th Choice	0.0%	5 th Choice	14.4%
	Unranked	37.5%	Unranked	28.1%
i. Presence of a Learning Collaborative	1st Choice	0.0%	1st Choice	0.7%
	2 nd Choice	0.0%	2 nd Choice	0.0%
	3 rd Choice	0.0%	3 rd Choice	5.0%
	4 th Choice	0.0%	4 th Choice	7.9%
	5 th Choice	0.0%	5 th Choice	4.3%
	Unranked	100.0%	Unranked	82.0%

<u>Table 2</u>: Comparison of Ranking Question 2

Table 2. Comparison of Karking Question 2	NW CMH Affiliation	Statewide	
	n=8	n=139	
II. Ranking Question 2:		_	
Please rank the top 5 potential Statewid	e solutions:		
	1st Choice 50.0%	1st Choice 46.0%	
a. Fund Regions to Select Alternative	2 nd Choice 25.0%	2 nd Choice 14.4%	
EBPs Based on Local Needs Rather	3 rd Choice 12.5%	3 rd Choice 14.4%	
than only Mandated EBPs	4 th Choice 12.5%	4 th Choice 3.6%	
	5 th Choice 0.0%	5 th Choice 7.2%	
	Unranked 0.0%	Unranked 14.4%	
	1 + 61 + 0 004	1.00	
	1st Choice 0.0%	1st Choice 10.8%	
b. Provide Authoritative EBP	2 nd Choice 0.0%	2 nd Choice 12.9%	
Consultation that is Readily Available to Clinicians on Demand	3rd Choice 37.5%	3rd Choice 19.4%	
to Chincians on Demand	4th Choice 12.5%	4 th Choice 15.8%	
	5th Choice 25.0%	5 th Choice 11.5%	
	Unranked 25.0%	Unranked 29.5%	
	1st Choice 0.0%	1st Choice 5.8%	
	2 nd Choice 0.0%	2 nd Choice 7.2%	
c. Maintain Dedicated State	3 rd Choice 0.0%	3 rd Choice 4.3%	
Champion for Each EBP	4th Choice 37.5%	4th Choice 13.7%	
	5th Choice 12.5%	5 th Choice 14.4%	
	Unranked 50.0%	Unranked 54.7%	
	1st Choice 0.0%	1st Choice 2.2%	
d. Increase Phase-in Time to	2 nd Choice 0.0%	2 nd Choice 11.5%	
Implement EBPs	3 rd Choice 0.0%	3 rd Choice 10.8%	
	4 th Choice 12.5%	4 th Choice 10.1%	
	5th Choice 12.5%	5 th Choice 7.2%	
	Unranked 75.0%	Unranked 58.3%	
	1st Choice 25.0%	1st Choice 12.9%	
e. Increase Consumer	2nd Choice 2.5.0%	2 nd Choice 9.4%	
Representation and Participation in	3rd Choice 0.0%	3rd Choice 5.8%	
the Selection of EBPs	4th Choice 0.0%	4th Choice 5.0%	
	5 th Choice 0.0%	5 th Choice 8.6%	
	5 diloice 0.0 /0	0.070	

	Unranked	75.0%	Unranked	58.3%
	1st Choice	0.0%	1st Choice	0.7%
	2 nd Choice	12.5%	2 nd Choice	11.5%
f. Seek Regular Consumer Feedback	3rd Choice	12.5%	3 rd Choice	15.8%
on EBPs after they are Implemented	4 th Choice	12.5%	4 th Choice	16.5%
	5 th Choice	37.5%	5 th Choice	12.2%
	Unranked	25.0%	Unranked	43.2%
	1st Choice	0.0%	1st Choice	2.9%
g. Use a Variety of Communication	2 nd Choice	12.5%	2 nd Choice	10.1%
Mechanisms to Inform Regions about	3 rd Choice	12.5%	3 rd Choice	11.5%
EBPs	4 th Choice	12.5%	4 th Choice	12.9%
	5 th Choice	12.5%	5 th Choice	15.1%
	Unranked	50.0%	Unranked	47.5%
	1st Choice	0.0%	1st Choice	5.8%
h. Set up the State to Act as a Central Clearinghouse for EBP Information, Certification and Training	2 nd Choice	0.0%	2 nd Choice	7.9%
	3 rd Choice	12.5%	3 rd Choice	10.8%
	4 th Choice	0.0%	4 th Choice	10.1%
	5 th Choice	0.0%	5 th Choice	13.7%
	Unranked	87.5%	Unranked	51.8%
	1st Choice	25.0%	1st Choice	12.2%
i. Certify the CMHSP/Region and not	2 nd Choice	50.0%	2nd Choice	14.4%
just the Individual Staff for Particular EBPs	3 rd Choice	12.5%	3 rd Choice	6.5%
	4 th Choice	0.0%	4 th Choice	11.5%
	5 th Choice	0.0%	5 th Choice	9.4%
	Unranked	12.5%	Unranked	46.0%

<u>Table 3</u>: Comparison of Ranking Question 3

	NW CMH Affiliation	Statewide	
	n=8	n=139	
III. Ranking Question 3:			
Please rank the top 3 factors pertainin	g to fidelity:		
a. Promote Adaptations of EBPs to	1 st Choice 12.5%	1st Choice 46.3%	
Accommodate Regional Differences	2 nd Choice 25.0%	2 nd Choice 31.3%	
	3 rd Choice 62.5%	3 rd Choice 22.4%	
h Establish a Maya Flavible			
b. Establish a More Flexible Certification Standard for Who Can	1st Choice 62.5%	1st Choice 35.1%	
Deliver EBPs	2 nd Choice 37.5%	2 nd Choice 37.3%	
Deliver EDI 3	3 rd Choice 0.0%	3 rd Choice 27.6%	
a Davidan Audit Ontions to Contify			
c. Develop Audit Options to Certify the CMHSP/Region and Not Just	1st Choice 25.0%	1st Choice 18.7%	
Individual Staff	2 nd Choice 37.5%	2 nd Choice 31.3%	
marvidui otan	3 rd Choice 37.5%	3 rd Choice 50.0%	

<u>Table 4</u>: Comparison of Ranking Question 4

Table 4. Companson of Natiking Question 4	NW CMH Affiliation	Statewide	
	n=8	n=139	
IV. Ranking Question 4:		-	
Please rank the top 3 factors pertaining	g to staffing issues:		
a. Limit the Number of EBPs a	1 st Choice 0.0%	1st Choice 23.9%	
CMHSP/Region is Implementing at	2 nd Choice 0.0%	2 nd Choice 15.9%	
Any Given Time	3 rd Choice 12.5%	3 rd Choice 13.8%	
	Unranked 87.5%	Unranked 46.4%	
		1.0.1.0.10.1	
b. Ensure that EBPs Don't Add to	1st Choice 50.0%	1st Choice 26.1%	
Staff Workload	2 nd Choice 12.5%	2 nd Choice 16.7%	
	3 rd Choice 0.0%	3 rd Choice 13.0%	
	Unranked 37.5%	Unranked 44.2%	
	1st Choice 25.0%	1st Choice 10.1%	
c. Recognize Staff Achievements in	2 nd Choice 25.0%	2 nd Choice 19.6%	
EBPs	3 rd Choice 25.0%	3 rd Choice 21.0%	
	Unranked 25.0%	Unranked 49.3%	
d Davidan Machanisms to Cartify	1st Choice 12.5%	1st Choice 21.7%	
d. Develop Mechanisms to Certify Supervisors in Multiple EBPs	2 nd Choice 50.0%	2 nd Choice 17.4%	
	3 rd Choice 25.0%	3 rd Choice 27.5%	
	Unranked 12.5%	Unranked 33.3%	
	1st Chaine 12 FO/	1st Chains 16 70/	
e. Offer Incentives to Motivate Staff	1st Choice 12.5%	1st Choice 16.7%	
Participation in EBP Supervision	2 nd Choice 12.5%	2 nd Choice 29.0%	
	3 rd Choice 37.5%	3 rd Choice 23.2%	
	Unranked 37.5%	Unranked 31.2%	

Table 5: Comparison of Ranking Question 5

N=8 n=139	Table 5: Comparison of Ranking Question 5	NW CMH Affiliation		Statewide	
1st Choice 37.5% 2nd Choice 50.0% 3rd Cho		n=8		n=139	
2nd Choice 50.0% 3rd Choice 12.5% Unranked 0.0%		to gathering d	lata and meas	uring outcomes:	-
Description of the State Audits Substitute Substitu		1st Choice	37.5%	1 st Choice 47	7.8%
3rd Choice 12.5% Unranked 0.0% Unranked 8.0%					
1st Choice 0.0% 2nd Choice 0.0% 2nd Choice 12.5% 2nd Choice 12.5% Unranked 87.5%					
2nd Choice 0.0% 3rd Choice 12.5% Unranked 60.1%		Unranked	0.0%	Unranked 8	.0%
2nd Choice 2nd Choice 3rd Choice 3rd Choice 24.6%					
2nd Choice 12.5% 3rd Choice 24.6% Unranked 60.1%		1st Choice	0.0%	1st Choice 7	.2%
3rd Choice 12.5% 3rd Choice 24.6% Unranked 87.5% Unranked 60.1%	•	2 nd Choice	0.0%	2 nd Choice 8	.0%
c. Incorporate EBP Fidelity Measures into the State Audits 1st Choice 12.5% 2nd Choice 12.5% 3rd Choice 62.5% Unranked 12.5% Unranked 12.5% 1st Choice 10.9% 2nd Choice 26.8% 3rd Choice 37.0% Unranked 25.4% 1st Choice 50.0% 1st Choice 31.9%	Medical Record System	3 rd Choice	12.5%	3 rd Choice 24	4.6%
c. Incorporate EBP Fidelity Measures into the State Audits		Unranked	87.5%	Unranked 60	0.1%
c. Incorporate EBP Fidelity Measures into the State Audits					
c. Incorporate EBP Fidelity Measures into the State Audits		1st Choice	12.5%	1 st Choice 10).9%
3rd Choice 62.5% 3rd Choice 37.0% Unranked 12.5% Unranked 25.4% d. Use Cost Benefit Analysis to 1st Choice 50.0% 1st Choice 31.9%	*				
d. Use Cost Benefit Analysis to 1st Choice 50.0% 1st Choice 31.9%	into the State Audits	3 rd Choice	62.5%	3 rd Choice 37	7.0%
u. Ose Cost Beliefit Alialysis to		Unranked	12.5%	Unranked 25	5.4%
u. Ose Cost Beliefit Alialysis to					
u. Ose Cost Beliefit Alialysis to	d. Use Cost Benefit Analysis to Determine Effectiveness of Multiple EBPs	4+ 61	F0.00/	4 = 01 + 24	00/
Delivery Tree 1 2nd Chaine 27 To/ 2nd Chaine 24 40/					
BPs 3rd Choice 12.5% 3rd Choice 21.0% Unranked 0.0% Unranked 13.0%					
Om anked 0.0% Om anked 13.0%		Ulli alikeu	0.0%	Ulli aliked 13	0.0%0